Seasonal influenza (flu) causes 9.3 million to 49.0 million illnesses and 12,000 to 79,000 deaths in the United States annually. To combat its impact, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends all healthy children and adults obtain a flu vaccination every year. In 2017–18, 57.9% of healthy children (6 months to 17 years old) obtained the vaccine, and approximately 80% of pediatric deaths from influenza during that season were children who were unvaccinated. Vaccinations have been proven to reduce flu-related hospitalizations and severe illness outcomes.

One challenge to flu vaccinations is that a new flu vaccine is usually created each year. The flu virus is constantly evolving and changing. The genetic material of the viruses is encoded in RNA, an unstable molecule that is characterized by frequent mutations, or random changes to the genome. Because of these genetic changes, the vaccine must be updated almost every flu season. Predicting the most dominant flu virus strains that will affect people each flu season is a difficult process, as demonstrated by the variability in the effectiveness of flu vaccines (Figure 1).

The majority of flu vaccines are created from three types of deactivated flu viruses: A(H3N2), A(H1N1), and B. The A(H3N2) virus is usually the most dominant and causes the majority of patient illness and death each flu season because it tends to mutate more frequently than the other types. Over the past decade, the A(H3N2) vaccine reduced the risk of having to go to the doctor with the flu by 25% (Figure 1).

To develop a vaccine in time for the beginning of flu season in the fall, scientists must start in early January. The current method that the CDC uses involves scientists vaccinating ferrets with several vaccine candidates. They then extract the antibodies from the ferrets to estimate which vaccine was the most effective against the dominant viruses from the previous flu season. This method has been used for almost 50 years. However, it has been proven to be inconsistent in predicting how well the vaccines would perform in humans, especially with the recent, rapidly mutating A(H3N2) viruses. Additionally, experiments with ferrets are time-consuming and costly.

In contrast, mathematical models, including a model developed at Rice University over 15 years ago, allow scientists to calculate how well the flu vaccine matches the infecting viruses. The Rice model, called pEpitope, estimates vaccine effectiveness, and it has been shown to work well for flu A(H3N2), A(H1N1), and B vaccines. For the 2018–19 flu season, Rice scientists predict that the vaccine will be between 20–40% effective against the majority of A(H3N2) viruses. Unfortunately, the model also predicts no protection against a small, newly evolving group of A(H3N2) flu strains. Modeling, if used during
sure that the vaccine virus does not mutate during the manufacturing process. The pEpitope model is also low-cost, as it does not require any specialized equipment.

Finally, it is extremely quick, taking just a couple of seconds to analyze the potential effectiveness of a vaccine against thousands of infecting viruses in a particular geographic region.

The CDC should strengthen their current protocols for choosing vaccine candidates by utilizing all available prediction modeling. This will improve overall flu vaccine effectiveness rates and potentially coverage rates as well. Scientists hope that with improved effectiveness, they will also be able to improve vaccine coverage rates, which still trail behind the CDC’s Healthy People 2020 goal of 70%.

Given the difficulty in producing effective vaccines and the general climate of public mistrust of immunization, this work has the potential to improve vaccine strain selection and education by providing a tool that is accessible to researchers and citizen scientists alike.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Public health researchers are often slow to change. Despite the fact that Rice’s pEpitope model has been around for more than 15 years, it is unclear why the CDC has yet to take advantage of it in developing their seasonal flu vaccine.\(^{10, 11}\)

Adding such a model to the already existing ferret experiments will enhance the current vaccination decision-making process. This mathematical modeling technique can rapidly narrow down the viruses that would be good candidates for the vaccine during a particular flu season. It can serve as a check to make
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